

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/656,655	09/05/2003	Alexander M. Raykhman	6743-02-1	8304
7	7590 03/17/2004	•	EXAMINER	
Marina F. Cu	nningham		PIHULIC, I	DANIEL T
McCormick, P	aulding & Huber LLP			
CityPlace II			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
185 Asylum Street			3662	
Hartford CT				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/ 11 11
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(W
	10/656,655	RAYKHMAN ET AL.	V
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel Pihulic	3662	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro		S
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1,5,7-11,13 and 14 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-4,6,12,15 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 16-19,21 and 22 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 September 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· <u></u>		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20030905.	6)  Other:		

Art Unit: 3662

1. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure with less than 151 words as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

2. Claims 2, 3 and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities:

in claims 2, 3 and 12 the term "tg" is not defined in the claims.

From applicants' device setup it would appear that  $h=w\cdot T_1/(T_2^2-T_1^2)^{1/2}$ .

Appropriate correction is required.

3. Claims 3, 4, 6, 15 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the Pulse Transit Time-based methods" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 3 recites the limitation "the acoustic pulse train" in lines 4 and 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "the type" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the technical project" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 6 recites the limitation "the present invention" in lines 2 and 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application Number: 10/656,655 Page 2

Art Unit: 3662

Claim 15 recites the limitation "the method" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent

basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 20 recites the limitation "the method of the present invention" in line 4. There is

insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Daniel Pihulic whose telephone number is 703-306-

4168. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7

a.m. to 5 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza, can be reached on 703-306-4171.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned are:

703-872-9306 for official responses, and

703-746-3847 for unofficial communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Daniel Pihulic Primary Examiner Art Unit 3662